

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 00USFP557-M.O. 4462 12/19/2000 Yutaka Takeishi 09/739,236 **EXAMINER** 04/23/2004 466 7590 YOUNG & THOMPSON AKKAPEDDI, PRASAD R 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ARLINGTON, VA 22202 2871

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			en				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	09/739,236	TAKEISHI, YUT	AKA				
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Prasad R Akkapeddi	2871					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Dr. Prasad R Akkapeddi-Examiner</u> .	(3)						
(2) Mr. Liam McDowell-Applicant's Representative.	(4)						
Date of Interview: 03 February 2004.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>16 and 28</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: No.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	ı)	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	reed would rend rould render the	er the claims claims				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

P.R. AKKapedass Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation She t (PTOL-413)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant requested a personal interview and sent a draft copy of the supplemental response. Upon careful evaluation of the response and further discussions with Mr. Ken Parker, it was determined that the arguments presented in the response are persuasive and the case would be allowable. Hence, the Examiner indicated that a formal interview would not be necessary and requested that the applicant send the response through the formal channels. Examiner also indicated that the previously withdrawn claims 1-15 need to be cancelled before the application can be placed in condition for allowance.

PTOL-413A (08-08)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0551-0031
(redemark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT F COMMERCE

Application No. 09/739,236 First Named Applicant: TAKE Status of Application: After Final Examiner: fikk a peld: Art Unit: 2-371 Status of Application: After Final Tentative Participants: (1) Liam MCDowell (2) (3) (4) Proposed Date of Interview: 2 4 0 4 Proposed Time: 10:00 (AMPM) Type of Interview Requested: (1) [] Telephonic (2) [] Personal (3) [] Video Conference Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES [] NO Issues To Be Discussed Issues To Be Discussed Agreed Not A (2)	(2)		Applican	Initiated Inte	rview Request I	Form	
(1) Liam MCDowell (2) (3) (4) Proposed Date of Interview: 2 4 0 4 Proposed Time: 10:00 (AMPM) Type of Interview Requested: (3) [] Video Conference Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES [ANO If yes, provide brief description: Issues To Be Discussed Issues To Be Discussed Agreed Not A (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Art (1) (6-3) [] [] [] [] (3) [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	(4)	Application No.; 09 Examiner: #KKA p	/ 739,236 First)	Named Applicant: Art Unit: 2명기	TAKEISHI Status of App	lication: Af+	r Final Reject
Proposed Date of Interview: 2 4 0 4 Proposed Time: 10:00 AMPM) Type of Interview Requested: (I) [] Telephonic (2) [] Personal (3) [] Video Conference Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES [] NO Hyes, provide brief description: Issues To Be Discussed [] Seq., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Art [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [Proposed Time: 10:00 AM/PM)	Tentative Participa (1) Liam M	nts: L)owell	_ (2)			
Type of Interview Requested: (I) [] Telephonic (2) [] Personal (3) [] Video Conference Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES [] NO Issues To Be Discussed Issues To Be Discussed Req., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Art [1] [] [] [] [] (2)	Sonal (3) [] Video Conference	(3)		_ (4)		_	
Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference	Issues To Be Discussed Prior Discussed Agreed Not Agreed Art [] [] [] [Proposed Date of L	nterview: 2/4/	04 Propose	d Time: 10:00	(AM/PM)	
Issues To Be Discussed	Prior Discussed Agreed Not Agreed Art	Type of Interview I (I) [] Telephonic	Requested: (2) [] Person	nal (3)[]V	ideo Conference		
Issues To Be Discussed	Prior Discussed Agreed Not Agreed Art [] [] [] []	Exhibit To Be Shov	vn or Demonstra	ted: []YES			
Claims	Prior Art Discussed Agreed Not Agreed	MASS PLOAIGE DLIE	description:				-
(2) [] [] [] (3) [] [] []	Art [] [] [] [] [] [] []			Issues To Be	Discussed		
(2) [] [] [] (3) []				•	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(3)[] []		(1)	16-35		. []	[]	[]
		(2)			_ []	[]	[]
[1]	11 [1]	(3)		-	_ []	[]	[]
		(4)			[]	[]	[]
[* Continuation Sheet Attached		[] Continuation Sh	eet Attached				
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:	be Presented:	Brief Description o	f Arguments to l	e Presented:			
See proposed response after final transmitt	and medance often I be to collect	· 5	er propo	ced response	after f.	hal tra	nsmitted
hereafter	AFRINAL SE WITH THE TANK TO SENI THE OF			he	reafter		
hereafter		>		he he	reafter	-	7.3-20,172.4
	hereafter	An interview was c	onducted on the	above-identified ap	plication on		·
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on	hereafter	MOTE: This form should be	completed by anni	icant and submitted	to the examiner in ad	yance of the int	erview (see MPE)
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on MOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see	here after te above-identified application on	8.713.A1).					
MOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see E 713.61).	here offer ne above-identified application on plicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPE)	This application will interview. Therefore	not be delayed iro , applicant is advi	em issue pecause of a sed to file a statemen	ppucant's fautre to so it of the substance of t	his interview (3	7 CFR 1.133(b))
MOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of t	bereafter ne above-identified application on plicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPE) from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this	se soon as possible.	(0)				
MOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of t Interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.13	here affer te above-identified application on plicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPE) from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this	Jan h	Lowell			···	
MOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of t Interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.13	Leterfler ne above-identified application on plicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPE) from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this	(Applicant/Applicar	it's Representativ	e Signature)	(Examiner/SPE Sign	ature)	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a hearfit by the public which is to file (and by the information is required for obtain or retain a hearfit by the public which is to file (and by the information or process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preporting, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments of the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED F RMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P. . Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.